WHEN THE GAZE INTRUDES: HOW SEXUAL OBJECTIFICATION UNDERMINES WOMEN'S WELL-BEING AND LEARNING

Garvita Jakhar [1], Deepika Dubey [2]

Abstract

This study explored the experiences of Sexual Objectification of women in educational institutes by interviewing five women pursuing Undergraduation in Delhi to provide an in-depth, descriptive contextual understanding of their experience in the Indian socio-cultural context. Thematic analysis revealed three main areas: (a) Psychological and Emotional Consequences (b) Social and Interpersonal Impact (c) Disruption of Academic Engagement and Cognitive Focus. The findings indicate that sexual objectification in academic settings exerts multilayered effects in a student's life that has a lasting impact. Rather than serving as safe spaces for learning, academic environments may instead perpetuate gendered power imbalances that restrict women's dignity, competence and safety.

Keywords : Sexual objectification, academic engagement, self-objectification, body surveillance, women students.

[1]Assistant Professor, Amity University Noida

[2] Student, Amity University Noida

Introduction

Educational institutions are often positioned as sites of empowerment, intellectual growth, and personal development. However, for many women, these spaces can also be sites of surveillance, judgment, and gender-based harm. Among the most insidious manifestations of this harm is sexual objectification—the reduction of a person to their physical appearance or body parts, often through the lens of male desire (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997). While widely studied in media and public discourse, sexual objectification remains an under-recognized

phenomenon within the everyday environments of schools and colleges, where gendered norms and power hierarchies often operate subtly through dress codes, faculty behavior, and peer interactions.

Objectification Theory (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997) provides a foundational lens for understanding the psychological and emotional consequences of these experiences. It posits that in a culture that persistently objectifies women, individuals may come to internalize an outsider's perspective of their own bodies—a process known as self-objectification. This internalization often manifests as body surveillance, self-blame, and chronic shame, contributing to a range of mental health concerns including depression, disordered eating, and trauma-related symptoms (Carr & Szymanski, 2011; Szymanski & Feltman, 2014).

Moreover, the interpersonal manifestations of objectification—such as unsolicited comments, catcalling, and unwanted sexual advances—are not isolated events but part of a broader cultural script that normalizes women's subordination and reinforces gendered expectations of modesty, silence, and compliance (Capodilupo et al., 2010; Fairchild & Rudman, 2008). Within academic spaces, these experiences can compromise a woman's sense of safety, distract from learning, and disrupt optimal cognitive states like flow—a deep immersion in tasks necessary for academic engagement and performance (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990).

Although objectification in public and virtual spaces has been widely examined, relatively little empirical attention has been given to how these experiences unfold in educational contexts, particularly from the perspective of those who endure them. In settings where faculty and administrative figures often wield disciplinary control—such as through dress code enforcement or public shaming—the institutional perpetuation of objectification may go unnoticed, unchallenged, and unaddressed.

The Present Study

This study seeks to qualitatively explore the lived experiences of sexual objectification among women in educational institutions. Using thematic analysis, the research identifies key patterns across narratives, including body surveillance, shame, safety concerns, self-blame, motivational disruption, and trauma. In doing so, this work calls for systemic change within

institutions that claim to foster gender equality, yet often fail to address the micro and macro dynamics of objectification embedded in their structures.

Literature Review

Sexual objectification refers to the treatment of individuals, predominantly women, as bodies or body parts, valued primarily for their appearance or sexual utility (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997). This phenomenon often manifests through unsolicited comments, stares, or unwanted advances, and is grounded in broader patriarchal and heteronormative structures that normalize the reduction of women to their physical form (Kozee et al., 2007). Objectification Theory, as proposed by Fredrickson and Roberts (1997), provides a robust framework for understanding how these repeated experiences shape women's psychological well-being. Particularly vulnerable are adolescent and young adult women, who are frequently subjected to interpersonal objectification during formative educational years (McKinley, 2006; Szymanski & Henning, 2007; Tiggemann & Lynch, 2001).

Empirical studies have consistently demonstrated a link between objectification and adverse mental health outcomes, including depressive symptoms and anxiety, especially among college-aged women (Capitaine et al., 2011; Carr & Szymanski, 2011; Szymanski & Feltman, 2015). These effects are not transient; chronic exposure to objectification can have cumulative psychological consequences over time (Carr et al., 2014).

A crucial consequence of sexual objectification is cognitive dehumanization—the process by which women are perceived as lacking full human attributes such as intellect, morality, or emotional depth (Gray et al., 2011; Loughnan et al., 2010). Research has found that objectified women are often processed more like objects than individuals, evidenced by increased visual fragmentation and reduced memory for whole bodies compared to isolated body parts (Bernard et al., 2012; Gervais, Vescio, Forster, Maass, & Suitner, 2012). This object-processing effect reveals the unconscious mechanisms through which objectification undermines women's personhood and contributes to broader gender-based harm.

Women internalize the external gaze, particularly through body surveillance, becoming chronic self-observers who evaluate their appearance according to culturally imposed standards (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997; McKinley & Hyde, 1996). This form of self-objectification has

been linked to a range of mental health challenges, including depression, disordered eating, and general psychological distress (Carr & Szymanski, 2011; Holmes & Johnson, 2017; Moradi, Dirks, & Matteson, 2005).

Body surveillance has also been shown to mediate the relationship between objectification and outcomes like shame and trauma (Szymanski & Feltman, 2014). Women subjected to persistent appearance-related evaluation in educational settings are more likely to engage in body monitoring, undermining their ability to concentrate and engage freely in academic spaces (Moradi & Huang, 2008).

Cultural narratives often imply that women are responsible for the objectifying behavior they experience—due to their attire, behavior, or mere presence in public (Campbell, Dworkin, & Cabral, 2009; Jensen & Gutek, 1982). Such beliefs are reinforced in educational settings where dress codes and disciplinary actions disproportionately target female students. Research shows that self-blame can exacerbate emotional distress and reduce help-seeking behaviors (Szymanski & Feltman, 2014; Carr et al., 2014). It also serves as a mediator in the relationship between objectification and depressive symptoms, particularly among marginalized populations (Carr et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2010).

Shame, a painful self-conscious emotion involving feelings of inferiority and exposure, is another common response to objectification (Tangney, 1996). Individuals high in shame proneness are more susceptible to emotional withdrawal, self-criticism, and avoidance behaviors (Andrews, Qian, & Valentine, 2002; Tangney & Dearing, 2002). Within academic institutions, where peer judgment and faculty surveillance are normalized, this emotional vulnerability may be intensified. Longitudinal research suggests that shame not only predicts negative affect but also plays a role in the development of PTSD symptoms, particularly among women with a history of sexual trauma (Feiring, Taska, & Chen, 2002; La Bash & Papa, 2014).

Feelings of unsafety are frequently reported by women who have been sexually objectified in academic or public spaces. These experiences contribute to hypervigilance—a constant state of alertness and self-monitoring—which mirrors the hyperarousal symptoms seen in trauma-related disorders like PTSD (Beneke, 1982; Brownmiller, 1975; O'Donovan, Devilly, & Rapee, 2007). The internalized message that women are always at risk, and must therefore regulate themselves to avoid danger, further entrenches gendered power imbalances.

Recent research has framed these ongoing experiences as forms of insidious trauma—psychological harm resulting from persistent, low-level discrimination (Root, 1992; Nadal &

Haynes, 2012). While these experiences may not always meet the clinical criteria for PTSD, they often produce comparable psychological and physiological symptoms, including anxiety, sleep disturbances, and dissociation (Elliott & Briere, 1992; Erchull et al., 2013).

Fredrickson and Roberts (1997) argued that self-objectification interferes with "flow"—a state of deep focus and motivation described by Csikszentmihalyi (1990). When cognitive resources are diverted to appearance monitoring, individuals struggle to concentrate on tasks, reducing their academic performance and intrinsic motivation (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997).

Unwanted sexual advances, often normalized as part of everyday experiences in educational settings, represent one of the most overt forms of objectification. These include leering, non-consensual touching, and verbal harassment (Kozee et al., 2007). When institutions fail to respond appropriately—by blaming victims, minimizing incidents, or lacking reporting mechanisms—students experience what has been termed "institutional betrayal" (Smith & Freyd, 2014). This further compounds trauma symptoms and discourages disclosure or resistance.

Methodology

The sample consisted of five women pursuing Undergraduation in Delhi, India, aged between 18 to 20 years. Convenience sampling was used, based on the availability and willingness to discuss personal experiences with sexual objectification in academic settings. Semi-structured Interviews were validated by three individuals who have PhD in Psychology. They were conducted in a mix of English and Hindi which lasted between 45 to 60 minutes using questions like - : Could you share experiences where you felt reduced to your physical appearance or body rather than your abilities? And "How have these experiences influenced your sense of self?" Particular care was taken during interviews to create a safe and non-judgmental space. All interviews were audio-recorded with participant consent.

Data Analysis

Interview data were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using thematic analysis following the six-phase approach outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). First, transcripts were immersed in through repeated readings. Second, initial codes were systematically generated across the dataset. Third, codes were grouped into candidate themes and theme maps constructed. Fourth, themes were iteratively reviewed against coded extracts and the full dataset and refined as needed. Fifth, theme definitions and names were developed to ensure clarity and coherence. Finally, a narrative report was produced, embedding illustrative data excerpts and linking findings to extant literature.

Results

Thematic analysis of participant interviews yielded three overarching themes: (1) Psychological and Emotional Consequences is concerned with the internal emotional responses to being objectified and surveilled in academic spaces (2) Social and Interpersonal Impact examines the impact of objectification on their social relationships and sense of community within the university, and (3) Disruption of Academic Engagement and Cognitive Focus examines with the cognitive and motivational effects of living under constant scrutiny. Each theme is supported by a range of subthemes that reflect the nuanced and often distressing ways in which participants experienced and processed sexual objectification within educational institutions.

Theme 1: Psychological and Emotional Consequences

A dominant subtheme was gendered dress surveillance, particularly targeting girls with curvier body types, resulting in feelings of shame, guilt, and vulnerability. One of the participant shared "There were certain girls in my class who would demean me. I have a body type which is considered in a sexualized way, I have a pear-shaped body". Participants reported that repeated objectifying encounters with authority figures, especially teachers, fostered intense self-consciousness and internalized objectification. They described instances where remarks about their clothing or bodies led to emotional breakdowns, self-doubt, and psychological distress. Like one partciapnt described her experience "I remember going back home and crying for hours because a teacher said my clothes would distract the boys." Many go into self-doubt and

question why they are experiencing these painful events. In several cases, this led to emotional detachment, hypervigilance, or complete withdrawal from classroom participation.

For some participants, these experiences began early in life and were reinforced throughout adolescence and into university. They internalized blame for these objectifying experiences, often interpreting them as reflective of a personal flaw or mistake, reinforcing a cycle of self-surveillance and shame.

Theme 2: Social and Interpersonal Impact

Several participants spoke of institutional betrayal, with faculty members engaging in or tolerating public shaming under the guise of discipline or moral correction. These interactions contributed to a breakdown of trust, not just in teachers but in the broader academic system. One participant expressed "They would also open the skirt in assembly right in front of everyone to pull it down" T4

Participants frequently described distancing themselves from peers, especially male peers, to avoid being the subject of rumors or unwanted attention as one participant described "With peers it's been very difficult for me to be with a guy or be friends with guys because maybe I'm very scared there's always a part of me thinking. What if this guy is just here for the for my body."

Interestingly, some participants reported inconsistencies in faculty behavior who were also responsible for perpetuating objectification, creating a deep sense of confusion and betrayal. This created an environment where participants felt emotionally unsafe, unable to express themselves freely or engage authentically with others

The apathetic behaviour of creates an unsafe space, leading to mistrust and social retreat. A participant said "I never even thought about speaking about it to anybody."

Theme 3: Disruption of Academic Engagement and Cognitive Focus

Participants consistently expressed a reduction in classroom engagement, loss of interest in extracurriculars, and reluctance to speak in public forums. The anticipation of judgment—whether through gaze, comment, or reprimand—resulted in self-imposed invisibility. A participant shared that she was not allowed to participate in an MUN because of the length of her skirt"

Women described repeatedly changing attire to avoid judgment: "you cannot wear something that shows skin…," constant rethinking before stepping out, and avoiding skinny jeans due to assumptions of showing off.

These narratives reflect how appearance is prioritized over competence, echoing the stereotype that women must appear "smart" to be perceived as such. This is consistent with research showing that women are evaluated more on looks than skills—supporting both objectification theory and the physical attractiveness stereotype

Many shared that their academic focus and creativity declined, with one participant stating she could no longer pursue art, fearing it would be misinterpreted. Others reported an inability to experience flow states—a concept describing deep, focused immersion in academic or creative work—due to persistent self-monitoring and emotional fatigue.

Avoiding introspection, creative expression, or emotional outlets—because doing so triggers painful memories or criticism—signals a fear-driven disconnection from internal states. Participants internalize societal scrutiny into self-censorship, stifling emotional exploration ("every time I try to open myself… comments come back.").

Objectification theory predicts such outcomes: reduced internal awareness, avoidance of self-expression, and emotional numbing layers over shame and surveillance

Discussion

The aim of the current study was to explore the experiences of sexual objectification of women in educational institutions.

Participants described persistent gendered dress surveillance, particularly noting that curvier or larger body types are disproportionately scrutinized. These accounts align with previous research indicating that dress codes disproportionately target women and reinforce normative body ideals (Happel, 2013; Raby, 2010). Internalized self-objectification was evident in participants' compulsive body surveillance, shame, and self-blame. These reactions are

consistent with objectification theory, which identifies self-surveillance and body shame as key mechanisms linking sexual objectification to emotional distress (Szymanski & Moffitt, 2011; Moradi & Huang, 2008).

Notably, the psychological toll was compounded by early exposure to objectifying messages from authority figures, such as teachers. Participants often reported feeling dehumanized or reduced to their appearance, mirroring prior findings that objectified women are perceived as less competent and more easily dismissed (Loughnan et al., 2010; Heflick & Goldenberg, 2009). The emotional impact ranged from immediate breakdowns to long-term disturbances in self-perception, echoing research showing that objectification can lead to chronic shame, anxiety, and depression (Carr et al., 2014; Szymanski & Feltman, 2015).

Many participants reported social withdrawal, loss of friendships, and difficulty trusting male peers, driven by fear of being reduced to a sexual object or targeted with rumors. This supports previous literature suggesting that objectification leads not only to internal distress but also to interpersonal dysfunction, isolation, and reduced relational security (Fairchild & Rudman, 2008). Particularly concerning was the role of teachers as enforcers of objectification, who often publicly shamed students for their clothing or appearance, contributing to humiliation and distrust. These findings align with studies on institutional betrayal, where harm inflicted by trusted systems exacerbates trauma and discourages help-seeking (Gómez, 2015).

Participants highlighted faculty hypocrisy, where educators preaching feminism simultaneously enacted public shaming. This contradiction reinforces previous critiques that institutions often superficially adopt gender equity discourses while perpetuating practices that reinforce sexism and control (Pomerantz, 2007; Capodilupo et al., 2010).

The findings reveal a profound disruption of cognitive focus and academic participation. Participants described avoiding classroom engagement, extracurricular activities, and leadership opportunities out of fear of further judgment, harassment, or misrecognition. This reflects the concept of motivational disruption central to objectification theory: self-objectification redirects attention from internal goals toward self-monitoring, thereby interfering with peak performance states like flow (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997; Csikszentmihalyi, 1990).

Additionally, participants reported pre-emptive self-monitoring—modifying clothing or behavior to avoid comments—which limited spontaneity and authenticity. The anticipation of judgment undermined their ability to express themselves, leading to artistic withdrawal and

emotional detachment. This supports existing literature that links objectification to reduced flow, diminished agency, and identity fragmentation (Tiggemann & Lynch, 2001; Szymanski & Feltman, 2014).

While many participants reported avoidant or emotionally detached coping strategies, some also demonstrated resilience and re-framing, interpreting their experiences as part of a spiritual or personal evolution. This echoes findings by Watson et al. (2012) and Carr & Szymanski (2011), who argue that while objectification often results in harm, some women resist its effects through self-redefinition, critical consciousness, or peer support. Supportive relationships with family and close friends were described as protective, affirming previous research on the role of social buffers in mitigating the psychological effects of objectification (Holmes & Johnson, 2017).

However, the absence of institutional redress mechanisms—such as reporting systems or grievance redressal processes—left participants feeling silenced and helpless, indicating a broader failure of systemic accountability. This finding calls for urgent institutional reforms that go beyond surface-level gender policies and genuinely challenge embedded patriarchal norms.

Conclusion

This study sheds light on the complex and multi-layered nature of sexual objectification in educational institutions. Far from being isolated or trivial incidents, these experiences are deeply embedded in institutional cultures and reinforced through faculty behavior, peer dynamics, and the absence of meaningful safeguards. The findings offer empirical support for objectification theory while extending its application to academic environments in the Indian socio-cultural context.

Sexual objectification was shown to impact not only psychological well-being but also academic engagement, identity formation, and social functioning. Participants described a life of constant surveillance, emotional exhaustion, and disrupted learning, with very few avenues for redress. These insights underscore the need for educational institutions to acknowledge, address, and dismantle systemic practices that enable objectification, including the revision of dress codes, the training of faculty and staff, and the implementation of transparent complaint mechanisms.

Future research should expand the scope to include larger and more diverse samples across institutions, while exploring intersectional factors such as caste, class, and sexuality. Interventions must not only focus on individual empowerment but also on structural transformation, ensuring that academic spaces are not just places of learning but also of dignity, equity, and safety.

References

1. Andrews, B., Qian, M., & Valentine, J. D. (2002). Predicting depressive symptoms with a new measure of shame: The *Experience of Shame Scale*. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 41*(Pt 1), 29–42. https://doi.org/10.1348/014466502163778

2. Cary, K. M., Maas, M. K., & Nuttall, A. K. (2020). Self-objectification, sexual subjectivity, and identity exploration among emerging adult women. *Self and Identity, 20*(7), 845–853. https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2020.1772358 tandfonline.com

3. Coffers, K. R. (2006). *Sexual objectification, self-objectification, self-efficacy, and female identity development: A preliminary study* (Master's thesis). West Virginia University. https://doi.org/10.33915/etd.769

4. Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. Harper & Row.

5. Erchull, M. J. (2013). Distancing through objectification? Depictions of women's bodies in menstrual product advertisements. *Sex Roles, 68*(5–6), 324–337. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-011-0004-7

6. Feiring, C., Taska, L., & Lewis, M. (2002). Trying to understand why horrible things happen: Attribution, shame, and symptom development following sexual abuse. *Child Maltreatment, 7*(1), 26–41. https://doi.org/10.1177/1077559502007001003

7. Fischer, A. R., Bettendorf, S. K., & Wang, Y. W. (2011). Contextualizing sexual objectification. *The Counseling Psychologist, 39*(1), 127–139. https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000010381141

8. Fredrickson, B. L., & Roberts, T. A. (1997). Objectification theory: Toward understanding women's lived experiences and mental health risks. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 21*(2), 173–206. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-6402.1997.tb00108.x

9. Friedrich, J., & Shanks, R. (2021). 'The prison of the body': School uniforms between discipline and governmentality. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education, 44*(1), 16–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/01596306.2021.1931813

10. Garcia, R. L., Earnshaw, V. A., & Quinn, D. M. (2016). Objectification in action: Self- and other-objectification in mixed-sex interpersonal interactions. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 40*(2), 213–228. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361684315614966 journals.sagepub.comresearchgate.net

11. Heflick, N. A., & Goldenberg, J. L. (2014). Seeing eye to body: The literal objectification of women. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 23*(3), 225–229. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721414531599

12. Impett, E. A., Henson, J. M., Breines, J. G., Schooler, D., & Tolman, D. L. (2011). Embodiment feels better: Girls' body objectification and well-being across adolescence. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 35*(1), 46–58. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361684310391641

13. Kozee, H. B., Tylka, T. L., Augustus-Horvath, C. L., & Denchik, C. (2007). Development and psychometric evaluation of the Interpersonal Sexual Objectification Scale. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 31*(2), 176–189. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-6402.2007.00351.x

14. La Bash, H., & Papa, A. (2014). Shame and PTSD symptoms. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy, 6*(2), 159–166. https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000001

15. Loughnan, S., Pina, A., Vasquez, E. A., & Puvia, E. (2013). Sexual objectification increases rape victim blame and decreases perceived suffering. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 37*(4), 455–461. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361684313485718

16. Loughnan, S., Fernandez-Campos, S., Vaes, J., Anjum, G., Aziz, M., Harada, C., Holland, E., Singh, I., Puvia, E., & Tsuchiya, K. (2015). Exploring the role of culture in sexual objectification: A seven nations study. *International Review of Social Psychology, 28*, 125–152. Retrieved from http://www.cairn.info/revue-internationale-de-psychologie-sociale-2015-1-page-125.htm

17. Miles-McLean, H., Liss, M., Erchull, M. J., Robertson, C. M., Hagerman, C., Gnoleba, M. A., & Papp, L. J. (2015). "Stop looking at me!": Interpersonal sexual objectification as a source of insidious trauma. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 39*(3), 363–374. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361684314561018

18. Moffitt, L. B., & Szymanski, D. M. (2011). Experiencing sexually objectifying environments: A qualitative study. *The Counseling Psychologist, 39*(1), 67–106. https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000010364551

19. Moradi, B., & Huang, Y.-P. (2008). Objectification theory and psychology of women: A decade of advances and future directions. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 32*(4), 377–398. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-6402.2008.00452.x

20. Papp, L. J., & Erchull, M. J. (2019). Correction to: *Objectification and system justification impact rape avoidance behaviors*. *Sex Roles, 80*, 515. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-018-0996-3

21. Sáez, G., Valor-Segura, I., & Expósito, F. (2016). Interpersonal sexual objectification experiences: Psychological and social well-being consequences for women. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 34*(4), 741–762. https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260516645813

22. Smith, C. P., & Freyd, J. J. (2014). Institutional betrayal. *American Psychologist, 69*(6), 575–587. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037564

23. Szymanski, D. M. (2020). Sexual objectification, internalization, and college women's depression: The role of shame. *The Counseling Psychologist, 48*(1), 135–156. https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000019878847

24. Szymanski, D. M., & Feltman, C. E. (2014). Experiencing and coping with sexually objectifying treatment: Internalization and resilience. *Sex Roles, 71*(3–4), 159–170. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-014-0392-6

25. Szymanski, D. M., Moffitt, L. B., & Carr, E. R. (2011). Sexual objectification of women: Advances to theory and research. *The Counseling Psychologist, 39*(1), 6–38. https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000010378402

26. Szymanski, D. M., & Henning, S. L. (2007). The role of self-objectification in women's depression: A test of objectification theory. *Sex Roles, 56*(9–10), 597–606. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-007-9277-8

27. Tangney, J. P. (1996). Conceptual and methodological issues in the assessment of shame and guilt. *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 34*(9), 741–754. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(96)00034-4

28. Tiggemann, M., & Lynch, J. E. (2001). Body image across the life span in adult women: The role of self-objectification. *Developmental Psychology, 37*(2), 243–253. https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.37.2.243